



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,711	10/18/2005	Noel O'Neill	9052-218	8453
20792 7590 05/16/2007 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			EXAMINER RALIS, STEPHEN J	
			ART UNIT 3742	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/528,711

Applicant(s)

O'NEILL, NOEL

Examiner

Stephen J. Ralis

Art Unit

3742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10 and 12-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/2007.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant is notified of receipt and acknowledgement, on 12 February 2007, of the amendments to Application No. 10/528,711, filed on 18 October 2005.

Drawings

3. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because drawings are hand drawn. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "baffle 16a" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

Art Unit: 3742

Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3742

In the instant application, the recitation of "wherein an additional reflector is disposed behind the light source on a side of the light source opposite the rear reflecting means" is not disclosed in the Specification. The Specification ~~is in~~ paragraphs 16, 17 and 48 as well as the original recitation of claim 5, disclose an additional reflector being disposed behind the light source. Even in examining Figures 1 and 2 of the instant application, the examiner cannot discern the location of the rear reflecting means (20) with respect to the location of the additional reflector means (21). Therefore, the recitation of "wherein an additional reflector is disposed behind the light source on a side of the light source opposite the rear reflecting means" is deemed new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 2, 4, 8 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2298073A).

O'Neill'073 discloses a flame effect electric fire comprising: a housing (outer casing 1) adapted to be mounted on a substantially plane wall; heating means disposed in the housing operative to draw air into the housing, heat the air and expel the heated air (fan heater 2); and a flame simulating assembly

Art Unit: 3742

mounted in the housing (simulated fuel 3); and comprising: a light source (light source 7); a viewing screen capable of diffusing and transmitting light (screen 4; page, 7 lines 18-35); a rear reflecting means disposed behind the viewing screen (reflecting panel 6; page 9, line 33 – page 10, line 19); and means for producing moving beams of light (rotor 8 which is mounted foil strips 9; page 7, lines 10-16; page 8, lines 18-25; page 10, lines 21-32), the light source being disposed below the reflecting means and behind the viewing screen (see Figure 3), the means for producing moving beams of light (indirectly –see Figure 3) is disposed in front of the light source and below the screen and light from the light source is reflected by the means for producing moving beams of light onto the reflecting means and is reflected by the reflecting means onto the screen to produce a perceptible image viewable on the screen (see Figure 3), and wherein the heating means expels air in a generally vertically downwardly direction through an underside panel of the housing (see Figure 3).

O'Neill'073 further discloses the light from the light source being prevented from falling directly onto the viewing screen by means of a baffle (shield 10) mounted above the light source (page 9, lines 17-22; see Figure 3); the rear reflecting means comprising a sheet of material having reflecting regions and non-reflecting regions, the regions being generally flame shaped and the rear reflecting means having a concave reflecting surface (page 10, lines 14-19); the simulated fuel bed being disposed directly in front of the diffusing and transmitting screen (see Figure 3); and the screen comprising a reflective front

Art Unit: 3742

surface configured such that a reflection of the fuel bed can be seen in the screen (page 7, lines 18-22).

With respect to the limitation of "adapted to be mounted on a substantially plane wall", the proposition of "adapted to" is being deemed functional language and if a reference has the structure and the controllability to perform the operation, the reference is complete as detail, please refer to MPEP §2111.04, ("Claim scope is not limited by claim language that does not limit a claim to a particular structure"; i.e. usage of "adapted to"; "configured to" being an equivalent is definition). Therefore, it is deemed that the structure of O'Neill (outer casing 1 and all of its structure) has the structure and controllability to perform the operation of being mounted on a substantially plane wall.

With respect to the limitation of the heating means expels air in a generally vertically downwardly direction through an underside panel of the housing, O'Neill'073 disclose an apparatus for simulating flames. O'Neill'073 explicitly disclose a fan heater (2) apparatus being on the lower side, bottom side and underside of the upper portion of the main simulating flame apparatus (see Figure 3). In addition, O'Neill'073 disclose the fan heater (2)/underside external panel (grille 18) combination being in the underside portion of the simulating flame apparatus expelling air generally vertically downwardly direction through the underside external panel (grille 18) of the housing (1) (see arrows indicating air flow in Figure 3). Therefore, the underside fan heater (2) underside external panel (grille 18) combination structure fully meets "the heating means expels air

Art Unit: 3742

in a generally vertically downwardly direction through an underside panel of the housing" given its broadest reasonable interpretation.

As the reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2298073A) in view of McDonald et al. (United Kingdom Publication No. 2276444A).

O'Neill-073 discloses an additional reflector being disposed behind the light source to reflect light beams at a different angle (auxiliary reflector 13; page 9, lines 8-12; see Figure 3), however, the claim differs from O'Neill/073 in calling

Art Unit: 3742

for the additional reflector being disposed on a side of the light source opposite the rear reflecting means.

However, an additional reflector being disposed on a side of the light source opposite the rear reflecting means is known in the art. McDonald et al., for example, teach a illumination light source (7) being disposed in-between a rear reflector (shaped second reflector 3; page 4, lines 11-23; see Figure 1) and an additional reflector (primary source reflector 6; page 6, lines 15-23; see Figure 1) to provide an insulative or cooling effect for various components and to further provide light paths of a specific directionality, thereby improving the safety and visual effect of the flame simulating apparatus. In view McDonald et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the additional reflector means with the light source in-between the rear reflector and additional reflector configuration to provide an insulative or cooling effect for various components and to further provide light paths of a specific directionality, thereby improving the safety and visual effect of the flame simulating apparatus.

12. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2298073A) in view Conroy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,742,189).

The claims differ from O'Neill'073 in specifically calling for a mounting means for mounting the flame effect fire on a wall.

Art Unit: 3742

However, simulated heated fireplace assembly comprising mounting means for mounting simulated heated fireplace assembly on a wall is known in the art. Conroy et al. teach an electric heated simulated fireplace assembly (12) that may be adapted for mounting within a larger receptacle which in turn is then mounted to the wall of a room; the corner thereof (column 4, lines 52-62) to provide a simulated fireplace assembly that may be used in many different rooms in a residence as well as in commercial establishments, thereby providing a more versatile apparatus to enhance and give pleasant atmosphere to the surroundings (column 1, lines 9-27). In view of Conroy et al. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of O'Neill'073 with a wall mounting receptacle to provide a simulated fireplace assembly that may be used in many different rooms in a residence as well as in commercial establishments, thereby providing a more versatile apparatus to enhance and give pleasant atmosphere to the surroundings.

13. Claims 3, 6 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2298073A) in view of O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2372807A) and Fukue (Japanese Patent No. JP 06290762).

The claims differ from O'Neill'073 in calling for the light source comprising at least one halogen bulb or tungsten filament bulb having a maximum external

Art Unit: 3742

dimension of not more than about 40mm; and the light source having a width of not more than 35mm and 15mm.

However, an apparatus for simulating a flame effect utilizing a halogen bulb, as described by O'Neill'807, is well known in the art. O'Neill teaches a simulating flame assembly comprising a halogen lamp(14) to provide a low voltage light source (5 watts; page 2, lines 24-26), allowing the flame simulating device to operate at relatively low voltages (page 2, lines 6-8), thereby providing a more electrically safe flame simulating device (page 1, lines 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the light source of O'Neill'073 with the halogen light source of O'Neill'807 to provide a low voltage light source, allowing the flame simulating device to operate at relatively low voltages, thereby providing a more electrically safe flame simulating device.

In addition, Halogen bulbs having a maximum external dimension of not more than 40mm and having a width of not more than 15mm and 35 mm, as described by Fukue, is well known in the art. Fukue teaches a halogen bulb having a maximum external dimension of not more than 40mm (maximum dimension is the diameter being in the range of 12mm to 18mm and the width being 6mm to 10mm; English Constitution translation) to provide an effective region of an infrared reflecting while still satisfying the operational requirements, thereby providing a more desired halogen light source. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the halogen light source of O'Neill'073 with dimension limitations of Fukue

Art Unit: 3742

to provide an effective region of an infrared reflecting while still satisfying the operational requirements, thereby providing a more desired halogen light source.

14. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2298073A) in view of Cornell (U.S. Patent No. 2,984,032).

The claims differ from O'Neill'073 in calling for the shaft being driveably connected at a first end thereof to a drive means for rotation of the shaft and being retained at a second end thereof in a supporting bracket, the shaft being displaceable from an operative position thereby to permit access to the light source; and the shaft being connected to the drive means via a flexible bushing and the second end of the shaft is releasably mounted in the bracket, the shaft being displaceable when desired by flexure of the flexible bushing.

However, a simulated flame apparatus having a motor connected to the shaft via a flexible bushing on one end and having a the shaft releasably connected to a support bracket on the other end, as described by Cornell, is well known in the art. Cornell teaches an artificial fireplace apparatus comprising a motor (34) mounted to a shaft (38), which extends inwardly through support leg (26). Cornell further teaches the shaft (38) is coupled to shaft (32) via a resilient sleeve (40) made of rubber or the like to provide a simple assembly and making it possible to make any necessary repairs or replacements (column 2, lines 33-43), thereby allowing the shaft to be disconnected without the use of any tools and easing maintenance thereof. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3742

skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the motor/shaft coupling of the O'Neill apparatus with the flexible coupling mechanism of Cornell to provide a simple assembly and making it possible to make any necessary repairs or replacements, thereby allowing the shaft to be disconnected without the use of any tools and easing maintenance thereof.

With respect to the limitation of the shaft being driveably connected at a first end thereof via a flexible bushing to a drive means operative to rotate the shaft and is releasably retained at a second end thereof in a supporting bracket, the shaft being displaceable from its operative position on release of its second end by flexure of the flexible bushing, thereby to permit access to the light source, Cornell explicitly teaches frames legs 26, 28 providing support means for a horizontal shaft (32). In addition, Cornell teaches one end of the shaft (32) being journaled in a suitable bearing (36) in support leg (28) and the other end being coupled to a shaft (38) of motor (34) extending inwardly through support leg (28) towards support leg (26). Cornell further the motor shaft (38) being coupled to the driven shaft (32) by a resilient sleeve (40) of rubber or the like, making it an easy matter *to disconnect* the shaft when desired without the use of any tools. Clearly, Cornell teaches the removal of shaft (32) by *disconnecting* driven shaft (32) from the /flexible bushing/resilient member (40) to provide a means for removal of the driven shaft (32) from bearing (36) or one would not provide for simplicity of assembly or providing the ability of making repairs or replacements of parts below the driven shaft (32) of the apparatus (i.e. bulb 16). Therefore, the O'Neill'073 in view of Cornell driven shaft with a resilient member

Art Unit: 3742

on one end of the driven shaft structure fully meets "the shaft is driveably connected at a first end thereof via a flexible bushing to a drive means operative to rotate the shaft and is releasably retained at a second end thereof in a supporting bracket, the shaft being displaceable from its operative position on release of its second end by flexure of the flexible bushing, thereby to permit access to the light source" given it broadest reasonable interpretation.

15. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neill (United Kingdom Publication No. 2298073A) in view of Cornell (U.S. Patent No. 2,984,032) as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Conroy et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,742,189).

The O'Neill'073-Cornell flame effect electric fire combination discloses all of the limitations, as described in claim 10 above, except for a mounting means for mounting the flame effect fire on a wall.

However, simulated heated fireplace assembly comprising mounting means for mounting simulated heated fireplace assembly on a wall is known in the art. Conroy et al. teach an electric heated simulated fireplace assembly (12) that may be adapted for mounting within a larger receptacle which in turn is then mounted to the wall of a room; the corner thereof (column 4, lines 52-62) to provide a simulated fireplace assembly that may be used in many different rooms in a residence as well as in commercial establishments, thereby providing a more versatile apparatus to enhance and give pleasant atmosphere to the surroundings (column 1, lines 9-27). In view of Conroy et al. it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of the O'Neill'073-Conroy flame effect electric fire combination with a wall mounting receptacle to provide a simulated fireplace assembly that may be used in many different rooms in a residence as well as in commercial establishments, thereby providing a more versatile apparatus to enhance and give pleasant atmosphere to the surroundings.

Response to Arguments

16. Examiner accepts amendments to the Specification and Claims and respectfully withdraws the objections, accordingly. However, applicant respectfully noted in applicant's remarks that "Formal drawings are being submitted herewith" (page 8, paragraph II.). There is no record of such submission, hence, the objection with respect to the Drawings is still outstanding.

17. Applicant's arguments filed 12 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to applicant's argument that O'Neill'073 does not teach or suggest a heating means expels air in a generally vertically downwardly direction through an underside panel of the housing, O'Neill'073 disclose an apparatus for simulating flames. O'Neill'073 explicitly disclose a fan heater (2) apparatus being on the lower side, bottom side and underside of the upper portion of the main simulating flame apparatus (see Figure 3). In addition, O'Neill'073 disclose the fan heater (2)/underside external panel (grille 18) combination being in the underside portion of the simulating flame apparatus expelling air generally vertically downwardly direction through the underside

Art Unit: 3742

external panel (grille 18) of the housing (1) (see arrows indicating air flow in Figure 3). Therefore, the underside fan heater (2) underside external panel (grille 18) combination structure fully meets "the heating means expels air in a generally vertically downwardly direction through an underside panel of the housing" given its broadest reasonable interpretation.

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

19. With respect to applicant's argument that there is no teaching that the second end of the shaft opposite a flexible bussing is releasably retained in a bracket, the examiner disagrees. Cornell explicitly teaches frames legs 26, 28 providing support means for a horizontal shaft (32). In addition, Cornell teaches one end of the shaft (32) being journaled in a suitable bearing (36) in support leg (28) and the other end being coupled to a shaft (38) of motor (34) extending inwardly through support leg (28) towards support leg (26). Cornell further the motor shaft (38) being coupled to the driven shaft (32) by a resilient sleeve (40) of rubber or the like, making it an easy matter *to disconnect* the shaft when desired without the use of any tools. Clearly, Cornell teaches the removal of shaft (32) by *disconnecting* driven shaft (32) from the */flexible bushing/resilient member (40)* to provide a means for removal of the driven shaft (32) from bearing (36) or one would not provide for simplicity of assembly or providing the ability of making repairs or replacements of parts below the driven shaft (32) of the apparatus (i.e. bulb 16). Therefore, the O'Neill'073 in view of Cornell driven shaft with a resilient member on one end of the driven shaft structure fully meets "the

Art Unit: 3742

shaft is driveably connected at a first end thereof via a flexible bushing to a drive means operative to rotate the shaft and is releasably retained at a second end thereof in a supporting bracket, the shaft being displaceable from its operative position on release of its second end by flexure of the flexible bushing, thereby to permit access to the light source" given it broadest reasonable interpretation.

Conclusion

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Ralis whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 3742


571-272-6227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Tu Ba Hoang
Primary Examiner



Stephen J Ralis
Examiner
Art Unit 3742

SJR
May 8, 2007